Mitigating greenhouse gases – Agriculture's role

Johan Six

Adam Wolf

Plant Sciences

UCDavis

Funded by PIER



Carbon Dioxide
CO_2 (ppm)
360
340
320
300
280
260
Radiative forcing (Wm^{-2})
1.5
1.0
0.5
0.0
Methane
CH_4 (ppb)
1750
1500
1250
1000
750
0.50
0.25
0.00
Nitrous Oxide
N_2O (ppb)
310
300
290
280
270
260
250
0.15
0.10
0.05
0.00
1000
1200
1400
1600
1800
2000

Sources and sinks in agriculture

CO_2

Sources: Fossil fuels, biomass burning, soil degradation
Sinks: Buildup soil organic matter and plant biomass
GWP (Global Warming Potential) = 1

N_2O

Sources: Fertilizer, crop residues, manure
Sinks: No agricultural sinks
GWP = ~300

CH_4

Sources: Livestock, manure, anaerobic soils (rice)
Sinks: Aerobic soils, especially forests and grasslands
GWP = ~20

California



CO_2 : 1.0%

N_2O : 4.0 %

CH_4 : 3.0%

Practices for C sequestration

- Reduced and zero tillage
- Set-asides/conversions to perennial grass
- Reduction in cultivated organic soils
- Winter cover crops
- More hay in crop rotations

Practices for N_2O & CH_4 emission reduction

N_2O mitigation

- Better match of N supply to crop demand
- Better organic N (e.g. manure) recycling
- Advanced fertilizers (e.g. controlled release, nitrification inhibitor)

CH_4 mitigation

- Improved livestock breeding and reproduction
- Nutrition (e.g. forage quality, nutrient balance, additives)
- Manure composting
- Rice (water and nutrient management)

Part of the solution



One of the wedges
is best management
practices in agriculture

Pacala and Socolow 2004

Integrated modeling approach



Yield Calibration

SAFS
LTRAS
5Pts

Based 3 long-term field experiments



SOC Calibration



N_2O Calibration

Field 74



Greenhouse gas budget: Five Points

- Reduced tillage can cut fuel-CO_2 emissions by half
- Integration of reduced tillage with cover cropping!

			$tCO_2e\ ha^{-1}$		
		STNO	STCC	CTNO	CTCC
SOC					
	Cotton	-0.11	-2.42	-0.92	-4.20
	Tomato	-0.65	-2.53	-0.87	-3.71
N_2O	297				
	Cotton	1.62	1.04	1.33	0.80
	Tomato	1.69	1.63	1.36	1.17
CH_4	31				
	Cotton	-0.11	-0.12	-0.11	-0.11
	Tomato	-0.11	-0.11	-0.11	-0.11
Fuel-C					
	Cotton	0.51	0.57	0.25	0.27
	Tomato	0.63	0.85	0.30	0.34
SUM					
	Cotton	1.91	-0.93	0.54	-3.25
	Tomato	1.56	-0.17	0.68	-2.31
	system	**1.73**	**-0.55**	**0.61**	**-2.78**

Sustainable Agricultural Farming Systems Project

SUM		Conventional	Low Input
	Bean	2.55	4.02
	Corn	-0.06	-0.83
	Saf	0.83	-1.16
	Tom	3.46	2.32
	system	**1.69**	**1.09**

Long Term Research Agricultural Systems Project

SUM		Conventional	Low Input	Organic
	Corn	6.54	2.23	1.59
	Tomato	4.46	2.54	-1.28
	system	**5.50**	**2.39**	**0.15**

Low Input and Organic have quite some potential for mitigation!

Implementation

Economics

Slide courtesy Paustian



Hopkins 2004

Cost to Mitigate

Five Points	STNO -> STCC	\$35
	STNO -> CTNO	\$0
	STNO -> CTCC	\$35
SAFS	Conv -> Low Input	\$18
LTRAS	Conv -> Low Input	\$22
	Conv -> Organic	\$0

European Market: \$34/tCO_2e

Ancillary benefits of GHG mitigation

C sequestering practices

- Reduced erosion
- Improved soil quality and fertility
- Improved water quality
- Conservation Reserve lands - Wildlife habitat and biodiversity
- Biofuel production

N_2O emissions reductions

- Reduced leaching and ammonia volatilization
- Improved water quality (well nitrate, hypoxia, algae blooms)
- Less fertilizer waste

CH_4 emission reductions

- Improved water and air quality (manure handling, odors, runoff)

Conclusions

- Cover cropping, low input, reduced tillage and organic seem to have potential in California.

  What about manure, compost, drip irrigation and set-aside?

- Fuel C and N_2O are major player in greenhouse gas budgets; especially in California

  But measurements and modeling issues with N_2O

Conclusions

- Use of improved management practices show a significant technical potential for GHG mitigation, but agriculture is **only part** of the solution.

- Bundling’ GHG mitigation with other environmental goals should increase benefit and cost-efficiency of agricultural GHG policies.

Issues

- Measurement and monitoring costs
  - Transaction costs?
- ‘Temporary’ carbon storage – who assumes the liability?
  - Long-term contracts
  - Leasing
- Additionality
  - Credit for ‘early’ adopters?

N_2O -> no issue

Table 1. Potential wedges: Strategies available to reduce the carbon emission rate in 2054 by 1 GtC/year or to reduce carbon emissions from 2004 to 2054 by 25 GtC.

Option	Effort by 2054 for one wedge, relative to 14 GtC/year BAU	Comments, issues
	Energy efficiency and conservation	
Economy-wide carbon-intensity reduction (emissions/$GDP)	Increase reduction by additional 0.15% per year (e.g., increase U.S. goal of 1.96% reduction per year to 2.11% per year)	Can be tuned by carbon policy
1. Efficient vehicles	Increase fuel economy for 2 billion cars from 30 to 60 mpg	Car size, power
2. Reduced use of vehicles	Decrease car travel for 2 billion 30-mpg cars from 10,000 to 5000 miles per year	Urban design, mass transit, telecommuting
3. Efficient buildings	Cut carbon emissions by one-fourth in buildings and appliances projected for 2054	Weak incentives
4. Efficient baseload coal plants	Produce twice today's coal power output at 60% instead of 40% efficiency (compared with 32% today)	Advanced high-temperature materials
	Fuel shift	
5. Gas baseload power for coal baseload power	Replace 1400 GW 50%-efficient coal plants with gas plants (four times the current production of gas-based power)	Competing demands for natural gas
	CO_2 Capture and Storage (CCS)	
6. Capture CO_2 at baseload power plant	Introduce CCS at 800 GW coal or 1600 GW natural gas (compared with 1060 GW coal in 1999)	Technology already in use for H_2 production
7. Capture CO_2 at H_2 plant	Introduce CCS at plants producing 250 MtH_2/year from coal or 500 MtH_2/year from natural gas (compared with 40 MtH_2/year today from all sources)	H_2 safety, infrastructure
8. Capture CO_2 at coal-to-synfuels plant	Introduce CCS at synfuels plants producing 30 million barrels a day from coal (200 times Sasol), if half of feedstock carbon is available for capture	Increased CO_2 emissions, if synfuels are produced without CCS
Geological storage	Create 3500 Sleipners	Durable storage, successful permitting
	Nuclear fission	
9. Nuclear power for coal power	Add 700 GW (twice the current capacity)	Nuclear proliferation, terrorism, waste
	Renewable electricity and fuels	
10. Wind power for coal power	Add 2 million 1-MW-peak windmills (50 times the current capacity) "occupying" 30×10^6 ha, on land or offshore	Multiple uses of land because windmills are widely spaced
11. PV power for coal power	Add 2000 GW-peak PV (700 times the current capacity) on 2×10^6 ha	PV production cost
12. Wind H_2 in fuel-cell car for gasoline in hybrid car	Add 4 million 1-MW-peak windmills (100 times the current capacity)	H_2 safety, infrastructure
13. Biomass fuel for fossil fuel	Add 100 times the current Brazil or U.S. ethanol production, with the use of 250×10^6 ha (one-sixth of world cropland)	Biodiversity, competing land use
	Forests and agricultural soils	
14. Reduced deforestation, plus reforestation, afforestation, and new plantations.	Decrease tropical deforestation to zero instead of 0.5 GtC/year, and establish 300 Mha of new tree plantations (twice the current rate)	Land demands of agriculture, benefits to biodiversity from reduced deforestation
15. Conservation tillage	Apply to all cropland (10 times the current usage)	Reversibility, verification

Pacala and Socolow 2004

Slide courtesy Robertson

Anthropic Sources of Methane and Nitrous Oxide Globally





Total Impact 2.0 Pg C_{equiv} 1.2 Pg C_{equiv}

(compare to fossil fuel CO_2 loading = 3.3 Pg C per year)

(compare to soil C sequestration of 0.3-0.5 Pg C per year)

IPCC 2001; Robertson 2004

Slide courtesy
Robertson

N_2O - Yield Threshold



McSwiney and Robertson, submitted

US Trading Initiatives and Activities

- Chicago Climate Exchange
- National Carbon Offset Coalition
- Commodity brokerage firms
  - Natsource
  - Cantor Fitzgerald
- Consultants
- NGOs
- State Initiatives